

The report/editorial/memo/article/speech/proposal claims that...
This argument has some loopholes. The author did not consider other factors that could have affected the argument. The argument also relies on several assumptions in making its claim, but does not offer any basis for those assumptions, which makes it slightly illogical. Thus, the argument is weak/unconvincing and flawed.

- What are the questionable assumptions?
- Are there alternative explanations?
- Is there better evidence that can be cited?
- Is the comparison appropriate?
- Does A really cause B? Will doing A really result in B?
- Are the laws of economics violated?
- Is the sample representative of the population?

In summary, the argument stands in need of further elaboration and substantiation of its claims. By leaving out several key issues, it is neither sound nor persuasive. It could be strengthened if the author provided more evidence and validated the assumptions made.