Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

Overall GMAT Exam Performance

YOUR GMAT TOTAL SCORE:

650



YOUR IR SCORE:

6

YOUR VERBAL SCORE:

32

YOUR QUANTITATIVE SCORE:

48



PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 757037

MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

551.9

(43rd percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Total score is 551.9. This score is better than 43% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

TIME MANAGEMENT BY SECTION

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

INTEGRATED REASONING

6

VERBAL

32

QUANTITATIVE

48



MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL TEST TAKERS:

1:54 minutes

Definition
For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Integrated Reasoning, Verbal, and Quantitative sections is 1:54 minutes.

SUMMARY

- Your GMAT Total score of 650 is higher than 76% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
  - Your Integrated Reasoning score of 6 is higher than 69% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
  - Your Verbal score of 32 is higher than 67% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
  - Your Quantitative score of 48 is higher than 71% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
- Your Quantitative score of 48 and your Verbal score of 32 were used to calculate your GMAT Total score. Especially strong or weak scores on either section significantly impacts the GMAT Total score.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

Integrated Reasoning Performance

YOUR OVERALL IR SCORE:

6



PERCENT OF QUESTIONS YOU ANSWERED CORRECTLY



PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS

TIME MANAGEMENT - INTEGRATED REASONING

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION



MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:
4.23
(40th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Integrated Reasoning score is 4.2. This score is better than 40% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL TEST TAKERS:
2:27 minutes

Definition
For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Integrated Reasoning section is 2:27 minutes.

SUMMARY

- Your Integrated Reasoning score of 6 is higher than 69% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 4.23.
- Lack of familiarity with the format of the four item types may negatively impact performance in this section.
- You completed 12 of the 12 questions in the Integrated Reasoning section.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

Verbal Section Performance

YOUR OVERALL VERBAL SCORE:

32

SUB-SECTION RANKINGS



PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 757037

SUB-SECTION TIME MANAGEMENT

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION



PERCENT CORRECT

YOUR OVERALL VERBAL SCORE:

32

PERCENT OF QUESTIONS ANSWERED CORRECT/INCORRECT



MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

26.80

(44th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Verbal score is 26.8. This score is better than 44% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL TEST TAKERS:

1:43 minutes

Definition
For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Verbal section is 1:43 minutes.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

AVERAGE DIFFICULTY



PERFORMANCE OVER TIME



SUMMARY

- Your Verbal score of 32 is higher than 67% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 26.8.
- Your performance on Critical Reasoning questions was equivalent to a score of 31, which is better than 57% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.61.
- Your performance on Reading Comprehension questions was equivalent to a score of 36, which is better than 76% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.32.
- Your performance on Sentence Correction questions was equivalent to a score of 28, which is better than 50% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.21.
- You completed 41 of the 41 questions in the Verbal section.
- You responded correctly to 75% of the first set of questions, 57% of the second set of questions, 43% of the third set of questions and 63% of the final set of questions.
- The average difficulty of questions presented to you in the first set of questions was Medium, the average for the second set of questions was Medium , the average for the third set of questions was Medium and was Medium for the final set of questions.
- The average time it took you to respond to the first set of questions presented was 1:59, the average time for the second set of questions was 1:40, the average time for the third set of questions was 2:10 and 1:27 for the final set of questions.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

Quantitative Section Performance

YOUR OVERALL QUANTITATIVE SCORE:

48



SUB-SECTION RANKINGS





PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 757037

SUB-SECTION TIME MANAGEMENT

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION



MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

38.91

(38th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Quantitative score is 38.9. This score is better than 38% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL TEST TAKERS:

1:56 minutes

Definition
For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Quantitative sections is 1:56 minutes.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

PERCENT CORRECT

YOUR OVERALL QUANTITATIVE SCORE:

48

PERCENT OF QUESTIONS ANSWERED CORRECT/INCORRECT



AVERAGE DIFFICULTY

AVERAGE DIFFICULTY PER QUESTION



TIME MANAGEMENT

AVERAGE TIME PER RESPONSE



Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

SUMMARY

- Your Quantitative score of 48 is higher than 71% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 38.91.
- Your performance on Problem Solving questions was equivalent to a score of 48. Your score is better than 72% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 38.18.
- Your performance on Data Sufficiency questions was equivalent to a score of 46. Your score is better than 62% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 38.07.
- Your performance on Arithmetic questions was equivalent to a score of 47. Your score is better than 66% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 38.33.
- Your performance on Algebra/Geometry questions was equivalent to a score of 48. Your score is better than 72% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 37.97.
- You completed 37 of the 37 questions in the Quantitative section.
- You responded correctly to 57% of the first set of questions, 71% of the second set of questions, 86% of the third set of questions and 71% of the final set of questions..
- The average difficulty of questions presented to you in the first set of questions was Medium, the average for the second set of questions was Medium High, the average for the third set of questions was Medium High and was Medium High for the final set of questions.
- The average time it took you to respond to the first set of questions presented was 1:40, the average time for the second set of questions was 1:58, the average time for the third set of questions was 1:29 and 2:53 for the final set of questions.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Sidharth Manihar | GMAT ID: 100001888271 | Charlotte, United States | Aug 16, 2016 | Appt ID: 302582063

This is NOT an official score report

Analytical Writing Assessment

This report is created before your Analytical Writing Essay is scored. Please refer to your Official GMAT Score Report to find your AWA score.

The following information will help you interpret your AWA score:

6 An AWA score of 6 reflects an outstanding essay. A cogent, well-articulated critique of the argument, demonstrating mastery of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Clearly identifies and insightfully analyzes important features of the argument - Develops ideas cogently, organizes them logically, and connects them smoothly with clear transitions - Effectively supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates superior control of language, including diction and syntactic variety and the conventions of standard written English

5 An AWA score of 5 reflects a strong essay. A well-developed critique of the argument, demonstrating good control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Clearly identifies important features of the argument and analyzes them in a generally thoughtful way - Develops ideas clearly, organizes them logically, and connects them with appropriate transitions - Sensibly supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates clear control of language, including diction and syntactic variety - Demonstrates facility with the conventions of standard written English, but may have minor flaws

4 An AWA score of 4 reflects an adequate essay. A competent critique of the argument, demonstrating adequate control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Identifies and capably analyzes important features of the argument - Develops and organizes ideas satisfactorily, but may not always connect them with transitions - Supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates adequate control of language, including diction and syntactic variety, but may lack syntactic variety - Displays control of the conventions of standard written English, but may have some flaws

3 An AWA score of 3 reflects a competent but clearly flawed critique of the argument, demonstrating some control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Does not identify or analyze most of the important features of the argument, although some analysis is present - Is limited in the logical development and organization of ideas - Offers support of little relevance and value for points of the critique - Uses language imprecisely and/or lacks sentence variety - Contains occasional major errors or frequent minor errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics

2 An AWA score of 2 reflects a seriously flawed essay, demonstrating significant weakness in analytical writing skills, and displaying the following characteristics: - Fails to show an understanding of and does not identify or analyze the main features of the argument - Does not develop ideas or is disorganized - Provides few, if any, relevant or reasonable supports - Has serious, frequent problems in the use of language and sentence structure - Contains numerous errors in grammar, usage, or mechanics that interfere with meaning

1 An AWA score of 1 reflects a fundamentally deficient essay, demonstrating fundamental deficiencies in analytical writing skills, and displaying the following characteristics: - Provides little evidence of the ability to understand and analyze the argument or to develop an organized response to it - Has severe and persistent errors in language and sentence structure - Contains a pervasive pattern of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics thus resulting in incoherence

0 An AWA score of 0 reflects an essay that was not scored. The essay is either totally illegible or obviously not written on the assigned topic