

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Blas Pegenante Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report

Overall GMAT Exam Performance

YOUR GMAT TOTAL
SCORE:

630

66th

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100

YOUR IR SCORE:

5

54th

YOUR VERBAL SCORE:

30

58th

YOUR QUANTITATIVE
SCORE:

47

61st

PERCENTILE RANKING 0 20 40 60 80 100

PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 761738

MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

561.3

(42nd percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Total score is 561.3. This score is better than 42% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

TIME MANAGEMENT BY SECTION

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

INTEGRATED
REASONING

5

2:33

VERBAL

30

1:39

QUANTITATIVE

47

1:47

minute 1 minute 2 minute 3 minute

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL
TEST TAKERS:

1:54 minutes

Definition

For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Integrated Reasoning, Verbal, and Quantitative sections is 1:54 minutes.

SUMMARY

- Your GMAT Total score of 630 is higher than 66% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
 - Your Integrated Reasoning score of 5 is higher than 54% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
 - Your Verbal score of 30 is higher than 58% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
 - Your Quantitative score of 47 is higher than 61% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.
- Your Quantitative score of 47 and your Verbal score of 30 were used to calculate your GMAT Total score. Especially strong or weak scores on either section significantly impacts the GMAT Total score.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report


FOR: Blas Pegenaute Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report


Integrated Reasoning Performance

YOUR OVERALL IR SCORE:

5



PERCENT OF QUESTIONS
YOU ANSWERED
CORRECTLY




PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS

TIME MANAGEMENT - INTEGRATED REASONING

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

OVERALL SECTION



CORRECT

INCORRECT

MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

4.29

(38th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Integrated Reasoning score is 4.3. This score is better than 38% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL
TEST TAKERS:

2:25 minutes

Definition

For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Integrated Reasoning section is 2:25 minutes.

SUMMARY


- Your Integrated Reasoning score of 5 is higher than 54% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 4.29.
- Lack of familiarity with the format of the four item types may negatively impact performance in this section.
- You completed 12 of the 12 questions in the Integrated Reasoning section.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report


FOR: Blas Pegenaute Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report


Verbal Section Performance



SUB-SECTION RANKINGS



SUB-SECTION TIME MANAGEMENT



MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:
27.04
(46th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Verbal score is 27.0. This score is better than 46% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL TEST TAKERS:
1:43 minutes

Definition

For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Verbal section is 1:43 minutes.


Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Blas Pegenante Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697


This is NOT an official score report

PERFORMANCE BY FUNDAMENTAL SKILLS


CRITICAL REASONING



READING COMPREHENSION



SENTENCE CORRECTION



Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Blas Pegenaute Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report


PERCENT CORRECT

YOUR OVERALL VERBAL SCORE:

30

PERCENT OF QUESTIONS ANSWERED CORRECT/INCORRECT

- CORRECT
- INCORRECT




"Each section in this graph represents approximately one quarter of the questions in order of presentation."

AVERAGE DIFFICULTY

AVERAGE DIFFICULTY PER QUESTION

- ALL
- CORRECT
- INCORRECT




"Each section in this graph represents approximately one quarter of the questions in order of presentation."

PERFORMANCE OVER TIME

AVERAGE TIME PER RESPONSE

- CORRECT
- INCORRECT



"Each section in this graph represents approximately one quarter of the questions in order of presentation."

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Blas Pegenautte Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report

SUMMARY

- Your Verbal score of 30 is higher than 58% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 27.04.
- Your performance on Critical Reasoning questions was equivalent to a score of 31, which is better than 57% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.59.
 - Your performance of 75% on Analysis/Critique questions is considered Above Average.
 - Your performance of 75% on Construction/Plan questions is considered Above Average.
- Your performance on Reading Comprehension questions was equivalent to a score of 34, which is better than 68% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.29.
 - Your performance of 100% on Identify Inferred Idea questions is considered Very Strong.
 - Your performance of 60% on Identify Stated Idea questions is considered Average.
- Your performance on Sentence Correction questions was equivalent to a score of 28, which is better than 50% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this sub-section is 27.19.
 - Your performance of 66% on Grammar questions is considered Above Average.
 - Your performance of 66% on Communication questions is considered Above Average.
- You completed 36 questions in the Verbal section.
- You responded correctly to 75% of the first set of questions, 43% of the second set of questions, 86% of the third set of questions and 88% of the final set of questions.
- The average difficulty of questions presented to you in the first set of questions was Medium, the average for the second set of questions was Medium, the average for the third set of questions was Medium and was Medium for the final set of questions.
- The average time it took you to respond to the first set of questions presented was 1:23, the average time for the second set of questions was 2:06, the average time for the third set of questions was 1:25 and 1:42 for the final set of questions.
- Please Note: If you sat for the GMAT exam prior to April 16, 2018 this section contained 41 questions, on or after April 16, 2018 the section consists of 36 questions.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report


FOR: Blas Pegenaute Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report

Quantitative Section Performance

YOUR OVERALL
QUANTITATIVE SCORE:

47




SUB-SECTION RANKINGS

PROBLEM SOLVING

60th

DATA SUFFICIENCY

57th




ARITHMETIC

59th

ALGEBRA/GEOMETRY

57th



PERCENTILE RANKINGS COMPARE YOUR PERFORMANCE TO 3 YEARS OF GMAT EXAMS SAMPLE SIZE: 761738

SUB-SECTION TIME MANAGEMENT

YOUR MEAN RESPONSE TIME PER QUESTION

OVERALL QUANTITATIVE

1:47

PROBLEM SOLVING

1:46

DATA SUFFICIENCY


1:47

ARITHMETIC

2:01

ALGEBRA/GEOMETRY

1:28



MEAN SCORE

MEAN SCORE:

39.93

(35th percentile)

Definition

The three year mean GMAT Quantitative score is 39.9. This score is better than 35% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years.

Note: GMAT scores are valid for five years. Even though your score won't change, your percentile ranking may change when compared with a newer pool of applicants. Shifts tend to be gradual over long periods of time.

MEAN TIME

MEAN TIME FOR ALL
TEST TAKERS:

1:55 minutes

Definition


For all GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years the mean time to complete a question in the Quantitative sections is 1:55 minutes.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Blas Pegenaute Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report

PERFORMANCE BY FUNDAMENTAL SKILLS



PERCENT CORRECT 0 20 40 60 80 100


PERCENT CORRECT

YOUR OVERALL
QUANTITATIVE SCORE:

47

PERCENT OF QUESTIONS
ANSWERED
CORRECT/INCORRECT

- CORRECT
- INCORRECT




Each section in this graph represents approximately one quarter of the questions in order of presentation.

AVERAGE DIFFICULTY

AVERAGE
DIFFICULTY PER
QUESTION

- ALL
- CORRECT
- INCORRECT



Each section in this graph represents approximately one quarter of the questions in order of presentation.


Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Blas Pegenaute Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report

TIME MANAGEMENT

AVERAGE TIME PER
RESPONSE



Each section in this graph represents approximately one quarter of the questions in order of presentation.

SUMMARY

- Your Quantitative score of 47 is higher than 61% of GMAT Exam scores recorded in the past three years. The mean score for this section is 39.93.
- Your performance on Problem Solving questions was equivalent to a score of 47. Your score is better than 60% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 39.91.
- Your performance on Data Sufficiency questions was equivalent to a score of 47. Your score is better than 57% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 39.94.
- Your performance on Arithmetic questions was equivalent to a score of 47. Your score is better than 59% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 40.02.
- Your performance on Algebra/Geometry questions was equivalent to a score of 46. Your score is better than 57% of all sub-section scores recorded in the past three years. The mean for all test takers is 39.88.
- Your performance of 50% on Geometry questions is considered Weak.
- Your performance of 75% on Rates/Ratio/Percent questions is considered Above Average.
- Your performance of 87% on Value/Order/Factors questions is considered Strong.
- Your performance of 50% on Equal./Inequal./Alg. questions is considered Weak.
- Your performance of 50% on Counting/Sets/Series questions is considered Weak.
- You completed 31 questions in the Quantitative section.
- You responded correctly to 71% of the first set of questions, 86% of the second set of questions, 43% of the third set of questions and 57% of the final set of questions..
- The average difficulty of questions presented to you in the first set of questions was Medium, the average for the second set of questions was Medium High, the average for the third set of questions was Medium High and was Medium High for the final set of questions.
- The average time it took you to respond to the first set of questions presented was 1:50, the average time for the second set of questions was 2:08, the average time for the third set of questions was 2:11 and 0:58 for the final set of questions.
- Please Note: If you sat for the GMAT exam prior to April 16, 2018 this section contained 37 questions, on or after April 16, 2018 the section consists of 31 questions.

Your GMAT® Enhanced Score Report

FOR: Blas Pegenaute Esparza | GMAT ID: 100003091847 | Lausanne, Switzerland | Aug 30, 2018 | Appt ID: 338330697

This is NOT an official score report

Analytical Writing Assessment

This report is created before your Analytical Writing Essay is scored. Please refer to your Official GMAT Score Report to find your AWA score.

The following information will help you interpret your AWA score:

- 6** An AWA score of 6 reflects an outstanding essay. A cogent, well-articulated critique of the argument, demonstrating mastery of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Clearly identifies and insightfully analyzes important features of the argument - Develops ideas cogently, organizes them logically, and connects them smoothly with clear transitions - Effectively supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates superior control of language, including diction and syntactic variety and the conventions of standard written English
- 5** An AWA score of 5 reflects a strong essay. A well-developed critique of the argument, demonstrating good control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Clearly identifies important features of the argument and analyzes them in a generally thoughtful way - Develops ideas clearly, organizes them logically, and connects them with appropriate transitions - Sensibly supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates clear control of language, including diction and syntactic variety - Demonstrates facility with the conventions of standard written English, but may have minor flaws
- 4** An AWA score of 4 reflects an adequate essay. A competent critique of the argument, demonstrating adequate control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Identifies and capably analyzes important features of the argument - Develops and organizes ideas satisfactorily, but may not always connect them with transitions - Supports the main points of the critique - Demonstrates adequate control of language, including diction and syntactic variety, but may lack syntactic variety - Displays control of the conventions of standard written English, but may have some flaws
- 3** An AWA score of 3 reflects a competent but clearly flawed critique of the argument, demonstrating some control of the elements of effective writing, and displaying the following characteristics: - Does not identify or analyze most of the important features of the argument, although some analysis is present - Is limited in the logical development and organization of ideas - Offers support of little relevance and value for points of the critique - Uses language imprecisely and/or lacks sentence variety - Contains occasional major errors or frequent minor errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics
- 2** An AWA score of 2 reflects a seriously flawed essay, demonstrating significant weakness in analytical writing skills, and displaying the following characteristics: - Fails to show an understanding of and does not identify or analyze the main features of the argument - Does not develop ideas or is disorganized - Provides few, if any, relevant or reasonable supports - Has serious, frequent problems in the use of language and sentence structure - Contains numerous errors in grammar, usage, or mechanics that interfere with meaning
- 1** An AWA score of 1 reflects a fundamentally deficient essay, demonstrating fundamental deficiencies in analytical writing skills, and displaying the following characteristics: - Provides little evidence of the ability to understand and analyze the argument or to develop an organized response to it - Has severe and persistent errors in language and sentence structure - Contains a pervasive pattern of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics thus resulting in incoherence
- 0** An AWA score of 0 reflects an essay that was not scored. The essay is either totally illegible or obviously not written on the assigned topic